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Prepared January & February, 2016 by members of the Ontario Coalition Against Poverty (OCAP) and allied community members/organizations
Homelessness has continued to increase in Toronto. Between 2011 and 2015, shelter use went up by 11% and there is no reason to expect this trend to reverse. Some key factors driving this worsening situation are the intensifying austerity measures taken by all levels of government; the decline in income, especially social assistance in Ontario since 1995; and unfettered upscale redevelopment at the expense of building or even maintaining affordable housing, especially in the downtown core of Toronto. The high cost of market rent, coupled with discrimination based on income and health status, has placed private market housing out of reach for the vast majority of those relying on social assistance or CPP. Obtaining affordable housing appears even more hopeless. There are 95,381 households on the waitlist for subsidized housing in Toronto. The wait is estimated to be 10 years long and for those that are homeless and coping with mental health issues and/or addictions the wait for supportive housing is reported to be 5 years.

For years, the City’s response to the growing problem of destitution has been as inadequate as it has been politically possible to get away with. City Council has made only minor concessions to community demands and despite decades of criticism and numerous freezing deaths shelters remain overcrowded and inaccessible and the conditions within them intolerable.

During the week of January 18, 2016, Ontario Coalition Against Poverty (OCAP) members and supporters visited 8 of the interfaith Out of the Cold facilities and 2 Warming Centres. Conditions were observed and a total of 105 service users were surveyed. As we had much expected, what we found were dedicated people struggling to meet the needs of the homeless with facilities and resources that were simply inadequate under the circumstances.

1.1) OVERCROWDING

The City’s Daily Shelter Census confirms that the policy of keeping shelters at a maximum occupancy level of 90% is being disregarded. Nearly every night occupancy levels exceed 90% in every shelter sector with the exception of family motels which skew the overall average. For instance, from January 1 to January 14, 2016 the average shelter occupancy rate according to the City census was 93.3% and Women, Youth and Family sectors were consistently between 96 - 100%. In 2013 flex beds were incorporated into
the permanent shelter system leaving no space to accommodate increasing need. This means that the city is depending entirely on two Warming Centres, two 24-Hour Drop-Ins for women and trans people and the charity based, volunteer run Out of the Cold Program as a backup for the overcrowded and failing shelter system. It should also be noted that this is a limited reprieve, as Warming Centres only run in January and February and Out of the Colds similarly only run in winter months and close every year after Easter.

Highlighting the extent of overcrowding, an alarming 81% of people surveyed at Out of the Cold locations and Warming Centres stated that they had been denied a shelter bed because shelters were full. Reasons for being at an Out of the Cold or Warming Centre instead of a shelter included: 1) shelters were full, 2) barriers to access, 3) having been barred from a shelter, 4) unfriendly experience, 5) past experience of theft and/or bugs.

The need for shelter much exceeds what the City is providing. Every night hundreds of people stay on the street, in unsafe relationships or accommodations, and in emergency facilities that are unable to provide a bed. According to the City of Toronto an average of 139 people were at a Warning Centre or 24-Hour Drop-In at 4 AM during the period of January 1st to 28th, 2016 and an average of 100 people stayed overnight at an Out of the Cold between January 1st and 14th, 2016. Occupancy rates vary. For example, 282 people stayed overnight at an Out of the Cold, Warming Centre or 24 Hour Drop-In on January 11, 2016.

![Pie Chart: Denial of shelter services, by respondent](image)

**Fig. 1.1: Denial of shelter services, by respondent**
Out of the Cold Program and Warming Centres are struggling to meet the need. 55% of respondents reported being denied overnight access to an Out of the Cold Program because they were full, and 5 out of 6 of the Out of the Cold staff and volunteers surveyed reported turning people away due to exceeded capacity. Numerous people reported that Warming Centres and Out of the Cold locations sometimes run out of mats and blankets, forcing people to sleep on the bare floor or in chairs.
Overcrowding leads to a high stress environment, a poor night’s rest and exacerbated health issues. Out of the Cold locations have mats scattered in hallways and set-up in basements and large rooms. In several locations mats were only inches apart from one another. Privacy and personal space were non-existent and tensions were high.

Of survey respondents at Out of the Cold locations and Warming Centres, 55% had witnessed physical or sexual violence, and 19% had directly experienced violence themselves.

At the Out of the Colds or Warming Centres have you witnessed or experienced physical or sexual violence?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>55% Witnessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19% Experienced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1.4: Witnesses of physical or sexual violence at Out of the Cold/Warming Centres, by respondent

2.) Conditions

Those who access an Out of Cold or a Warming Centre must make do with conditions that are lacking in a range of ways. None of the locations visited fully complied with Toronto Shelter Standards. Several locations did not meet the standard of having one toilet for every 15 people. At all locations, a mat on the floor was the best sleeping option available. All locations only offered one blanket and only 2 locations offered a pillow. While Toronto Shelter Standards highlight the importance of allowing 2.5 feet between sleeping surfaces approximate space between mats ranged from inches (3 locations) to 2 feet (1 location) placing people at risk of infectious disease and posing safety issues if evacuation became necessary.

Overcrowded conditions and poor food quality expose shelter users to the risk of illness and disease. From 2010 to 2015 Toronto Public Health confirmed 18 outbreaks of foodborne illness and infectious disease within City shelters. In total, 660 people became ill during these outbreaks. Confirmed infectious disease outbreaks ranged from gastroenteritis to influenza and streptococcal pneumonia with the largest outbreak causing illness in 77 people.
2.1) BARRIERS TO ACCESS

Curfews and barring practices often prevent the most marginalized from accessing a shelter bed. Barring is a symptom of overcrowding and a lack of resources. Meeting the needs of every shelter user is challenging when facilities are managing a bed shortage and crowded conditions. Barring appears to be a common practice within shelters and people surveyed identified drug and/or alcohol use and/or intoxication as the most common reason for barring. Of those surveyed at Out of the Cold locations and Warming Centres, 61% said they had been kicked out of and/or barred from a shelter because of substance use or intoxication.

Warming Centres appear to have the lowest barriers to access. 88% of Warming Centre respondents said they had never been turned away from a Warning Centre because of exceeded capacity. Similarly, Warming Centres appear to practice barring less often, with 88% saying they had never been kicked out of and/or barred from a Warming Centre.

88% No

Have you ever been denied entry to the warming centre because they were full?

NUMBER OF Respondents: 26

Fig. 2.2: Respondents denied access to Warming Centres due to capacity issues
For many, securing a safe place to stay at night becomes a task that fills a large part of the day and creates much anxiety. Different Out of the Cold locations operate on different nights, leading to a situation where people travel across large parts of the City hoping to secure shelter.

The demand for shelter is greater than can be met, so many show up hours before it is time for them to sleep. Several people reported showing up 4-6 hours before doors open to secure a bed ticket. There were volunteer and staff reports of people at Out of the Cold locations who, late in the evening in the dead of winter, still did not know if they would get a mat for the night. Those who do not secure a spot must try the Peter Street Referral Centre, where they may sit for several hours waiting for a bed or a 24-Hour Drop-In, where no mat is guaranteed. It is no surprise that many take their chances sleeping outside on the street.

Perhaps the most disturbing part of what we discovered, given the glaringly inadequate conditions of facilities, was the extent to which people expressed a preference for the Out of the Cold Program over City shelters. People told us they found the Out of the Cold program to be less rule bound, more welcoming and generally less tense and violent. These facilities appear to resort to removal and barring less frequently. Couples and friends were less likely to be separated and there was a sense of community. Police presence can be a deterring factor for many people, including those who use substances or have experienced police brutality. Many people reported that in comparison to shelters, the police are called less frequently to Warming Centres and the Out of the Cold Program.

Accessing a shelter bed can be a time consuming and confusing process that often does not yield results. People reported that calling central access to obtain a bed often resulted in a long wait on hold (up to one hour long) only to find out that no bed was available. Calling shelters directly is also challenging. A 2013 study conducted by Ipsos Reid found that only 12% of calls answered by shelters resulted in being offered a bed⁹. The rest of the time people were referred to other shelters, denied a bed outright or referred to Central Access to begin the process all over. 25% of the time the call was not even answered.
Fig. 2.4: Reasons for using Out of the Cold/Warming Centre spaces over shelter bed, by respondent. Values exceed 100% due to several respondents citing multiple reasons

2.2) CONCLUSIONS

The provision of shelter beds to people who are homeless is the last act of state intervention before outright social abandonment. After a commitment to living wages has been jettisoned, decent income withdrawn and even the right to housing compromised, all that is left before the authorities wash their hands of any and all responsibility for well being and survival is the provision of shelter from the elements. If this meagre test is applied to the municipal government of the City of Toronto, then the state of the shelter system shows a City that has crossed the line and abandoned people who are homeless.

Fundamentally, the Toronto shelter system and its backup component, are not ensuring that everyone who needs it will have shelter on any given night. The conditions being imposed on those who do access the system are utterly failing to meet basic needs, provide health sustaining facilities and offer conditions that respect basic human dignity. In such a wealthy city as Toronto, this is both unjustified and outrageous and must be addressed by those responsible.

3.) Demands

What emerges is a picture of a shelter system in crisis that is lurching towards breakdown. We demand of the City the following measures to address this situation:
1.) **Enforce the 90% Maximum Occupancy Policy:** The ongoing refusal to enforce the 90% Maximum Occupancy Policy by opening additional space must come to an end. It is the key to a meaningful improvement in a deplorable and deteriorating situation. Unless there are enough shelter beds to meet the need that exists, people will be forced onto the streets or continue to endure conditions that no one should have to face.

2.) **Open new spaces to shelter people, including the Federal Armouries:** The City must secure and open shelter space and continue to do so in order to ensure the 90% policy is complied with. As an interim measure to reduce the present pressure on the system, we call for the Federal Armouries to be used for shelter. Such a move would have an immediate beneficial effect and would provide access to beds, cots, pillows, showers and improved personal space and safety.

3.) **End discriminatory practices within the shelter system:** A low barrier access approach must be taken to ensure that people are not removed or barred from shelters. A harm reduction approach is necessary to ensure that everyone can access a shelter bed free from discrimination based on substance use. This vital approach requires adequate spaces be provided or the tensions created by overcrowding will continue to stand in the way of a system that can meet people’s needs and be truly inclusive. Warming Centres and 24-Hour Drop-Ins should not act as the only accessible space for some populations because these facilities are not equipped for people to actually sleep and are meant as an emergency measure. Additionally, points of access such as central intake and the referral centre have become cumbersome and prohibitive and must be made more accessible.

4.) **No further loss of shelter beds:** The crisis in the system is such that no existing space can be put in jeopardy. This is especially true in the central part of the City where shelters are needed the most. Securing locations for shelter beds has proven difficult in the past. For example, after the closure of the Hope Shelter it took months to find a space to partially replace the beds lost. The George Street redevelopment must not proceed until downtown sites to permanently house all beds currently at Seaton House are secured. It must be agreed that the removal of shelter beds from the central part of the City is simply not feasible.

5.) **Respect the right to housing:** In this report, we address the deplorable state of Toronto’s emergency shelters. Obviously, it is the lack of truly affordable and accessible housing that drives the homeless crisis that has overwhelmed this system. There remains an acute need for all levels of Government to put real resources into social housing and, in addition, it is vital that the City of Toronto not compound the problem by putting existing housing at risk. Public housing and rent geared to income stock must be preserved and ‘reform’ measures that intensify gentrification rejected. Additionally, shelters and housing should not be pitted against each other – the reality in the current moment is that we desperately need both.
The most extreme expression of the present austerity agenda we are facing is the refusal to provide basic shelter to people who are homeless. The means exist with all three levels of government to address the present shelter crisis. We call on Mayor John Tory and the City Council to take the basic steps necessary to ensure that this City respects the human right to shelter from the elements under conditions that preserve health, respect and dignity.

### 4.) Definitions

**Out of the Cold Program** - A charity based program run by volunteers during the winter months. Host sites are churches, synagogues and faith based communities that offer a meal and place to sleep one night a week.

**Warming Centres** - A program that came into existence in 2014 after a long and hard fight for emergency space during cold weather amidst overcrowding in the shelter system. There are currently 2 warming centres in Toronto that are open 24 hours a day during January and February. After February warming centres will only open when an Extreme Cold Weather Alert is called. This occurs when a temperature of -15 degrees Celsius or a wind chill of -20 degrees Celsius or colder is forecasted.

**Shelters** - A mixture of City run or City funded and agency run spaces that provide beds for people who are homeless.

**24 Hour Drop-Ins** - A new program beginning in 2015 that was also a long and hard fought win. These drop-ins are meant to provide a 24 hour safer space for women and trans people ALL YEAR. There are two 24 hour women and trans drop-ins in Toronto.

**Harm Reduction** - Reducing the harms associated with drug use without requiring cessation of use. Includes programs, policies and care philosophies that promote self-determination and self-empowerment and work to reduce stigma, discrimination, criminalization and harms related to drug use.

**Barred from shelter** - The person is/has been barred from one or more shelters.

**Shelters are full** - Today and/or in the past the person found that shelters were full and/or shelters are often full so they have stopped trying to access them.

**Easier to Access** - The person finds the Out of the Cold Program and/or Warming Centres easier to access and/or has been referred to the Assessment and Referral Centre on Peter Street and does not want to and/or is unable to wait there.
Bugs - The person has seen and/or been infested by bed bugs, lice, cockroaches and/or scabies at a shelter(s).

Unfriendly Staff - The person has had a negative experience(s) with shelter staff.

Theft - The person has had a personal item(s) stolen while at a shelter.

Poor Food Quality - The person is unhappy with the food quality at a shelter(s) and/or prefers the quality of food at the Out of the Cold Program.
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